Effect of Branched and Straight Chained Alcohols on Performance of Crude oil Demulsifiers
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ABSTRACT: Unwanted crude oil emulsions occur in many stages of oil production, transportation, and processing. The huge cost resulting from corrosion of transport system and production facilities, because of the presence of water is a major challenge to the oil industry and the global economy. However, the addition of alcohols to demulsifiers has been reported to enhance their efficiency in removing water from emulsions. There is therefore the need to identify the best type of alcohols and optimize this process of addition. Consequently in this work, the effect of different straight and branched chain alcohols on the performance enhancement of demulsifiers was investigated using four different crude oil emulsion samples. The results showed that straight alcohols performed better when compared to branched chain alcohols under all conditions of temperature. This may be due to their slow mobility particularly in stable emulsions.
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Today, crude oil is one of the most important constituents of the reservoir fluids because of its huge economic value. The fluid constituents of oil wells as well as the maturing nature of old wells necessitate that crude oil is seldom produced alone because it is generally commingled with water and other materials. When these immiscible fluids are subjected to certain conditions including agitation in the presence of emulsifying agents, an emulsion is formed. The energy input for the formation of crude oil emulsions during oil production is provided by vigorous agitation of oil-water mixture at points such as perforations, gas lift mandrels, wellbore valves/chokes, bends along flow line, separators and pumps (HTS Consultants, 2004).

An emulsion is usually defined as a dispersion of a liquid within another. In this case of oil and water, the water can be dispersed in the oil (water in oil emulsion) or the oil dispersed in the water (oil in water emulsion). They can be stable, meso-stable and unstable depending on their rheological properties and ease of separation (Odisu and Salami, 2010). The water is undesirable because it creates several problems in production, transportation, and processing processes. These problems may include: increased cost of pumping, significant flow line or tubing pressure resulting from high viscosity emulsions, and significant pressure drops created in flow lines. These problems evidently translate into huge cost to the oil industry and hence to the global economy in terms of the risk of corrosion of export and subsea pipelines and refining equipment, possible catalyst poisoning, increased crude oil pumping costs and other associated problem (Odisu and Ebewele, 2008). There is therefore urgent need to give more attention to the study of the process or processes that may lead to the formation of emulsion, the properties of emulsions and ways of destabilizing or separating emulsions. This will enhance more efficient design and operational flexibility of production and separation systems.

The process of stabilization/destabilization of water-in-oil emulsions is a complex field with great implications for petroleum processing. The destabilization process can be achieved by using one or a combination of methods including gravity
settling (centrifugation), application of heat, electrical methods and chemical methods involving application of chemical demulsifiers. The settling tanks, cyclones, centrifugal separators and other kinds of mechanical separation tools in the destabilization of crude oil emulsions is considerably voluminous as well as expensive to install on offshore platforms and even on land operation stations (Petroleum extension service, 1974). It means great economic benefit whenever the installation can be kept at a minimum size and number. The use of chemicals called demulsifiers has become common for destabilizing emulsions and it has also been confirmed that alcohol have the capacity to enhance the performance of demulsifiers in the destabilization of crude oil emulsions (Sjoblom et al, 1992; Siffert et al, 1994).

Therefore, the objective of this study is to investigate the effect of branched and straight chained alcohols on the performance of demulsifiers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Three (3) 100ml measuring cylinders (Pyrex, England) containing emulsion samples (obtained from flow stations around the Niger Delta) were placed in water bath (Optichem by Chemglass, New Jersey) and heated at a temperature of 30°C and 60°C each for 10mins and then removed. Subsequently, 10 ppm of the sample demulsifier-sample CD-A (obtained from an oil field chemical application company in Warri, Nigeria) was added to the different crude oil samples (A, B and C) using a micro pipette. Thereafter, 10 ppm of methanol, ethanol and propanol (obtained from chemical vendor in Warri) were added separately to each of the crude oil emulsion samples already having demulsifiers.

The samples were observed for 10mins, 20mins and 30mins according to ASTM D1401-98. The observation period was extended to 40 mins, 50 mins, 60 mins, 90 mins, 120 mins, 150 mins, and 180 mins because of the time it takes in some cases for crude oil to possibly travel from the point of production and injection to the point of final settling and phase separation.

All the observations were noted and result presented for each temperatures, time, and particular alcohol. The above process was repeated using branched alcohol- propan-2-ol, butan-2-ol, and benzyl alcohol (obtained from chemical vendor in Warri) - with the same conditions and procedure. The amount of water separated at the different time and temperature was measured and recorded.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figures 1a, b and 2c compare the result of demulsification with methanol as performance enhancer at 30 °C, and 60 °C for the different crude oil samples. After 1440 mins, with the addition of methanol at 30 °C and 60 °C, sample A gave an amount of separable water of 1.6 ml and 4 ml respectively while in the case without alcohol at 60 °C, we have 2 ml. Sample B gave 3.6 ml and 16 ml at 30 °C and 60 °C and without alcohol at 60 °C it yielded 3 ml. However, sample C gave 2.4 ml and 4 ml while without alcohol at 60 °C gave 0 ml. The results show a trend of relative improvement with the addition of methanol particularly for the case of sample C. These also suggest that the effect of the straight chain alcohol - methanol on the demulsification process will be better off at higher temperatures. The reason for this may be that the alcohol functional group in methanol could interact with OH in water to enhance the hydrophilic action of the demulsifier or increase the water-oil partitioning that could result in enhanced separation of the water from oil.

Figures 1c, d and 2c show the effect of addition of ethanol at 30 °C and 60 °C as well as the control. After 1440 mins, with the addition of ethanol at 30 °C and 60 °C, sample A and C gave separable water of 2.4 ml each and the control at 60 °C gave 2 ml and 0 ml respectively. Sample B gave 12 ml at 30 °C and 13.5 ml at 60 °C and without alcohol at 60 °C it yielded 3 ml. However, sample C gave 2.4 ml and 4 ml while without alcohol at 60 °C gave 0 ml. This again shows a relative increase in amount of recoverable water on addition of an alcohol especially this time for B and C. The impact was still minimal for A. This could be attributed to the fact that the activity of the OH functional group present in the ethanol enhancing hydrophilic and hydrophobic actions. Figures 2a, b and c show the effect of the addition of propanol at 30 °C and 60 °C on the demulsification process and the case of no alcohol. The results show that after 1440 mins, samples A, gave 2 ml and 6 ml respectively and 2 ml for the case without. Sample B yielded 14 ml and 11.8 ml and 3 ml for control. Sample C gave 5 ml and 5.6 ml at the two temperature conditions with 0 ml for control. Even at 60 °C. The results at the two temperatures when compared to the control case, suggest that effect of Propanol was more obvious for samples B and C irrespective of operating temperature, while the effect for case A seems minimal. The enhanced performance again could be attributed to activity of the OH functional group present in the ethanol.
Abdurahman et al., 2007 concluded that the performance of all demulsifiers either water or oil separation can be enhanced by addition of alcohols and that using alcohols alone did not resolve the emulsion implying that the alcohols only enhanced the activities of demulsifiers. It has been observed that as the molecular weight of the alcohol increases, their enhancing effect increases. Alcohols with low chain (C₁ to C₃) are soluble in water, while C₄-C₆ are partially soluble and long chain C₇ and above are insoluble (Nuraini et al., 2011; Al-Sabagh, 2011). These positions are in line with our observation as the three straight chain alcohols used show a relative increase in enhancement activity from methanol to propanol. Figures 3a, b, c and d compare the results of demulsification with propan-2-ol as performance enhancer at 25 °C, 30 °C, and 60 °C for the different crude oil samples with the control (3d). With the addition of the alcohol at 25 °C, samples A, B, and C maintained their stability until the 1440th minute when A gave 2 ml; B recorded 9 ml, with no water obtained from C. However, without alcohol at 30 °C, for samples A, B, and C water separated were 2 ml, 3 ml, and 0ml. While at 30 °C and 60 °C, with alcohol, sample A gave 4 ml each; B gave 13 ml and 16 ml each; C gave 0 ml for each temperature. The results show that the alcohol did not affect the process too well except for the case of sample B. Suggesting that the effect of the branched alcohol on the demulsification process was slow and minimal except for B after a relatively long time. The reason could be due to the position of the OH functional group in propan-2-ol that prevented it’s interact with water by enhancing the hydrophilic property of the demulsifier, which have resulted to enhanced oil - water separation (Abdurahman et al., 2007).
Figures 4a, 4b, and 3d now show the effect of addition of butan-2-ol for the purpose of enhancing the demulsification process. Also, like the case of propan-2-ol, the impact was minimal with 2 ml of water recovered at the first 2 mins while 5 ml after 120 mins and 10 ml after 1440 mins for sample B. Sample A in turn gave 4 ml while C remained stable at 30 °C. Surprisingly, when the temperature was increased to 60 °C, the situation did not improve as the water recovered reduced from 10 ml earlier to 8 ml at 1440th mins for A with B maintaining water recovering of 4 ml and C without any recovered water. This could still be attributed to the fact that the OH functional group present in the alcohol could not cause any major impact on the separability of oil-water mixture because of the cloud of bond around it as a result of the OH position. As highlighted above, compared with the control, it could be considered not to have made significant impact except for sample B. Figures 4c, 4d, and 3d show the actions of benzyl alcohol at 30 °C and 60 °C on the demulsification process. The result show that at 30 °C, the separation was minimal and slow as well with no observable separation from the beginning to the 180th mins before sample A and B gave 3 ml and 6 ml respectively and non for sample C after 1440 mins. However, at 60 °C, the separation improved for samples A and B with maximum observable water recovered of 8 ml and 16 ml respectively while C gave about 2 ml. This seeming difficulty in separation could still be attributable to the presence of bond cloud around the OH functional group making it unable to initiate OH-water affinity that could have enhanced oil-water separation. Also when compared to the case for the control set up, we can conclude that the alcohol did not significantly affect the process except for sample B at an increased temperature. The observations are in line with some past works that have suggested that demulsifiers are surface agents and develop or create a high surface area at the crude water/oil interfaces. Al-Sabagh et al, 2011 reported that the increase of available surface area for demulsification happens by the replacement of films of natural crude oil surfactants by a film that is conducive to coalescence of water droplets. Therefore the higher the surface area created the better the enhancement action. This position could well have explained the above observations which suggest that branching did not encourage increase in available surface area by alcohols and therefore, the enhancement effect of branched alcohols on demulsifiers seem limited.

Conclusion: From this study, the straight chain alcohols used showed better potential as performance enhancers for demulsifiers than the branched chains alcohols that were used. Although, the performance of both class of alcohols were found to be affected by crude oil chemistry and possibly demulsifier based components and their effect was not generally drastic (gradual and minimal in some instance). On a general assessment, straight chain alcohols gave better performance enhancement effect than branched chain alcohols whose effect at low temperatures was low and seems to be more affected by changes in crude oil chemistry.
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